

# GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

---

**Appeal No.108/SCIC/2015**

Dr. (Ms) Kalpana V. Kamat,  
Caldeira Arcade, 1<sup>st</sup> floor,  
Bhute Bhat Vasco-Goa 403 802 ..... Appellant

**V/s.**

1. Public Information Officer,  
Mormugao Planning Development Authority,  
Vasco Da Gama, Goa ..... Respondent No. 1
2. First Appellate Authority  
Mormugao Planning Development Authority  
"Commerce Centre"  
IInd Floor Vasco-Goa. .... Respondent No. 2

**CORAM:**

**Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar**, State Information Commissioner

**Filed on: 06/10/2015**

**Decided on:15/06/2016**

## **O R D E R**

1. By an application dated 23/06/2015 the Appellant Dr. (Ms) Kalpana Kamat sought from the Respondent No. 1 PIO of Mormugao Planning & Development Authority, Vasco da Gama Vasco Goa information at Sr. No.1 to 4 as stated therein in the said application under Section 6 of the RTI Act, and also sought for inspection of files.
2. By reply dated 20/07/2015 the Respondent No. 1 PIO furnished the Appellant the information which was sought by her.
3. The Appellant since not satisfied with information furnish by the Respondent No.1 PIO filed First Appeal before the Chairman being First Appellate Authority on 11/08/2015.

4. Since First Appellate Authority did not dispose off the matter within the stipulated period as contented under the Act and since not satisfied with the reply of Respondent No.1 PIO Appeal u/s 19 (3) came to be filed before this Commission on 06/10/2015. In the Appeal before this Commission Appellant has prayed as under.
  - (a) For direction to Respondent No.1 to furnish complete and correct information free of cost.
  - (b) For inspection of various documents,
  - (c) Compensation.
  - (d) For penalty against the Respondent No.1 PIO for providing in complete information and as against the Respondent No.2/FAA for not passing the order within 45 days.
5. During the hearing the Appellant was present in person Respondent No.1 was represented by Ms. Vertika Dagur Respondent No.2 was absent during the proceedings.
6. The reply was filed by Respondent No.1 on 08/03/2016. During the hearing before the Commission the Appellant agreed and consented to take inspect of file/documents and then to obtain relevant information as the information which was sought by her was voluminous in nature.
7. During the hearing on 06/06/2016 the Respondent No 1 Ms. Vertika Dagur submitted that the relevant information has provided to Appellant after inspection was carried by her. The Appellant also confirms same and further submitted that since she has received complete information to her satisfaction, she is not insisting for invoking penal action and prays for closure of the Appeal and proceeding. Accordingly filed application praying for closure of present proceedings. The said application is taken on record.

8. Since the information is already furnished Appellant to her satisfaction no intervention of this Commission is required.
9. In above circumstances the following order is passed.

**ORDER**

Application of the Appellant dated 06/06/2016 for closure of Appeal proceedings is hereby granted and proceedings stands closed.

**(Pratima K. Vernekar)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Goa State Information Commission,  
Panaji-Goa